G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 23-19

1910

(illegible)

Serial Nos. 16

Later references.

Hgm 76-12
8645 7+8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Part B for July 1911

SUBJECT.

Remission of Kandayam on Sarvamanya Yeppareddyhalli village belonging to Sri Vyasaraya Swami Mutt

---

No. 1. From the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog Dated No. 10 of 8-7-1910

Recommends the remission of total Assessment of occupied lands of Yeppareddyhalli village Chellakere Taluk belonging to Sri Vyasarayaswami

(I.P.B.—5,000—22-10-10)

No. 2. To the Revenue Commissioner 306 of 29

Forwards the

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Miscellaneous)

NOTES.

File No. 2210

FILE HEADING.

Remission of Sarvamanya Hipparedy, hally

From

The Dy. Commr, Chitaldroog.

No. 10 Dated 8 4 10 Received 12 4 10

Diary No. 60	Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends remission of 1/4 of total beriz of occl. pois lands of the village (owing to the distress of 08-09.) belonging to the Vyasaraja Mutt

NOTES AND ORDERS.

States that Hipparedy hally is a Sarvamanya village belonging to the Sree Vyasaraja mutt at Sirsi, now under Govt management; that owing to the failure of Rains during 08 09, the yield of Crops is very low ranging from 2 to 4 annas, that as the Survey settlement has not been introduced as yet, the area

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—3 1 07

Serial No.

of wet-lands cultivated by each ryot, Cannot be known and hence the scale of remission sanctioned in G. O. No 20-30 dated 30th May 09 Cannot be adopted; that one of ryots has produced a Sreemukha given to him by the State in 1842 which granted a remission of 12½ % of the total assessment of both dry and wet lands enjoyed by him; that during the famines of Dhatu and Eswara (1878 & 79) it is said that a remission of half the assessment was sanctioned by the State; that there is no evidence to support this last statement; that during the famines of 1908-09, Govt sanctioned a remission of half the assessment of the wet-lands; that it is nothing but fair to adopt the same in the G.O in this village also and to sanction the remission of ¼ of the total berij of the village, as the area of wet-lands cultivated by a ryot Cannot be known.

G O No 20 30 dt 30th May 1908

2 Secy's orders: Submit here the Govt order referred to and other orders Connected therewith.

X H.B

Submitted The amildar reports that the Sreemukha produced by one of the ryots remitting Kandayam at the rate of 2 as in the rupee does not mean that this concession was extended by the Samasthan to all the ryots in the Angirasa year (1872) & that though declared the ryots enjoyed possession of dry lands, the garden assessment

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 23 of 1910 | 13

Serial No.

ment is collected from them & that it is only after survey & settlement is introduced into the village that separate Kandayam can be fixed. Whether any remission of assessment was granted in the years Dhata & Iswara is not borne out by records. The Dy Comr therefore recommends that for this village suffered from the distress of 08-09 in common with other villages of the Chellakere Taluk, the concession allowed to the latter may also be extended to it viz that a remission of 1/4 of the total being of the occupied land viz Rs 454-6-0 may be granted.

RL 26/7/10

Forward in original to the Govt for sanction of Govt

27/7/10

Draft letter to Rev Comr is submitted

RL 27/7/10

2

Rev Comr to Mysore

Letter No 306 dated 29th July 1910

Forwards in original letter No 10 dated 8th July 10 from the Dy Comr, Chitaldroog with his enclosure in recommending remission owing to the distress of 1908-09 of one fourth of the total being Rs 454-6-0

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.	
	lands of the Village Concerned
3.	From The Rev Commr No 2092 dated 10 8

Expresses the same opinion as the matter as the Dy Commr, regarding the remission of the total assessment of the occupied lands of the village in question

The Rev Commr also states that when the Govt should lend all its power and influence to collect the Revenue arrears of an Inam Village under its management, it is nothing but harsh and unjust on the part of the Govt if they do not show the same indulgence to the ryots which it would have extended if the land belonged to an unalienated village

Hence he says that the Govt are fully justified if they take any steps with or without the Consent of the Inamdar, to show some sympathetic Consideration to the distressed ryots in the Inam Village under Govt management

R. Secy's orders I should like to see the Govt order about the remission in this year

Subd

The Rev Branch will kindly put up the first order or orders in question for the perusal of the undersd Secy (Br VII). Early

RL
23.8.10

G O Ms No 20-30 & Camp Mysre 30.5.10 which has already been put up in this file

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

evenue at. | Mis/ File No. 23 of 1910

Serial No.

is the final order sanctioning remissions & suspensions of land revenue on account of the distress of 1908-09.

Para 10 thereof directs that the orders shall also apply to whole inam villages under Govt management.

A petition from Thirumaiyar other ryots of this Thiruvaiyaru village in question had been submitted to Govt a few months ago. and

9-9 was forwarded to the Rev Comnr in Memo for disposal, with the observation that the orders granting remissions for the year 1908-09 (Nos C. 20-3 & 30/5/09) applied also to the village in question under Govt management.

A copy of the G.O. No R 8245-6 - Agri 82-09 - 5-8 9.6.10 Explaining the Scope of the order of 30 5-09 in regard to the Bagayat assessment is also herewith sent for information

Copy
24.8.10

By VH

Submitted for orders
RL
24/8/10

AH
24/8/10

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

venue
t.

File No. 25 of 190

Serial No.

Para 10 of this Govt order of May 1909 about the remission and suspension of revenue was of special interest to those who have to deal with the Inam Villages of this Collectorate, Vizagapatam and Ganjam Matts. I was thinking how, if this order was given effect to, I should find funds for the monthly payment to the two Collectors. I was specially concerned about this last as this surplus was all exhausted and the annual income was barely sufficient to meet all charges. In fact there does not appear to be any funds now for paying the two Collectors who has requested me to enquire into this matter. It may be that the concession is given effect to in regard to the Village in Vizagapatam Taluk, Bangalore Taluk and in the Villages of the Tumkur Taluk which are classed as affected areas in the Faridabad and that consequently there is no money now to pay this amount

Though as stated above I had my apprehensions, I thought that the cases of these Villages might fall within the concession believing that these alone are, as far as I know Villages only; and in this I was a source of safety

Now however that there has been an exact application from a case of Tiptur additionally in the Challakere Taluk which was not apparently thought of.

The Revd: Comm: has fully dealt with this position as if I understand him aright I think Govt cannot pass an order like para 10 of the order of 1909. At the same time I must say that if the Inamdar was himself in possession of the ground not have got the full Kandaya amount himself.

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

venue at | File No. 23 of 1910

Serial No.

The In this present case there is also the circumstance that the Village is not surveyed and settled and that in as it dry, wet & garden lands is not known. The Dist: therefore proposes a general remission of 1/4 th assessment. This may perhaps be too liberal if the lands should be mostly dry cultivation. A remission of 1/5 th assessment generally for which a precedent is quoted may as a special case be sanctioned if this appeals will have the full benefit of the order.
I do not know ~~what reason to give opposition~~ as his official duties have passed.

Submitted to Hon'ble Mr. Justice Wendt

Colombo
1/1 Abdulrahman

8/8/1910

Govt has an immense advantage as compared with inamdars, who under the law as interpreted by the Chief Court can claim no charge on the lands for their Kandaya due by the raiyats. Also in many cases where the villages are ~~not~~ not surveyed settled, it is by no means easy to make out who are ~~precarious~~ tenants ~~and~~ at will, and who are Kadim tenants. It is only the latter that can at all be fairly compared to ~~such~~ occupants of inalienated lands in Govt Vil-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Secretariat. ue | Famine File No. 23 of 1910

Serial No.

lages. As the Rev C^n observes, the difficulty of the inamdars in collecting their dues in bad seasons has also to be taken into account.

It would therefore be a grievous hardship to inamdars if Gov^t would step in to absolve the tenants of part of their obligations towards their superior holders. It seems to me that para. 10 of the Gov^t order about remissions can only be enforced with the consent of the inamdars, and also that it should be enforced only within the strict limits laid down therein. If it cannot be made out exactly what is due for wet lands alone, no remission can be given; but it may be possible for the local officers to arrive at a fairly approximate estimate for applying the Rule. If this can be done, it may be enforced in this case with the consent of the Inamdar (who I have little

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

ue Part File No. 73 of 1910

Serial No

doubt, will accept our advice) If it is impossible to arrive at a fair estimate, we should decline to grant any remission

I am not in favour of adopting the course suggested by the Nwz V.S., as it would introduce an objectionable principle that the relations betn landlords & their tenants may be affected by mere executive orders of Govt.

Dewan

8/9/10
Hz

7747
8.9.10

Submitted to Dewan
Ambabwaraya
8/9/1910

It seems to me that the Govt is bound to manage the village affairs in the best & most absorbing interests of the inamdar & his can be better fulfilled this Abhyankar than by managing it as if it were the Govt's own I think the Commissioner

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

and Revenue
tariat.

File No. 23 of 1910

10

Serial No.

asked for should be granted —

To Council 28 Dec 10

Circulate

cfz

29/12/10

Transfer to Council Section

— 31/12/1910 —

'69
11.10

In the Case of whole villages under Govt management the Government take the place of the Mamdar and in the matter of collection, remission &c they may exercise the same powers as the Mamdar and in fact greater powers as for instance in regard to collection of arrears and making the land tax a primary charge on the land. It is only fair & proper that where so much power is taken by Govt in regard to collection, they should have the powers they exercise

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—55,000—27 1 13

Serial No.

to make suitable remission according to general orders applicable to Govt villages, within certain limits - In this new para 10 of the G.O of 30th May 1904 is fully justifiable The Consent of the Inamdar is not required as Govt is acting in his best interests - Nor do I think that any Inamdar will be so unreasonable as to repudiate the action of Govt in such a matter. Where collection is made with cast iron rigidity by Govt agency, remissions and concessions in times of distress should form the necessary counterpoise. In this view I agree with the Dewan and the Revenue Commissioner in thinking that a remission should be granted, as recommended by the Dy Commr

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-1-10

Serial No.

8555
8 11.10

Dewan

CM
7/11/10

The principle of the order of May 1909 should be applied in this case. For this purpose enquiry may be made onto the ~~cost~~ assessment on wet land payable by each tenant, and only such assessment should be remitted.

I & NWD

In this case since the ~~opinion of the~~ 2nd Cr differs from the Dewan & 1st Cr the case requires the orders of H.E. under the Rule of Business.

Resubmitted to Dewan.

CSB
11/11/10

8629
11.11.10

Br VII.

Please consult Govt Advocate & also in Consultation with Govt pursue precedents as to whether any such remissions were given in previous seasons of distress. (Also note under what authority Govt manages the wards estates in the State)

CSB

NOTE—Contn. sheet

BPG—55,000—27.4.09

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT. (Remission of one fourth of the total Beriz of occupied lands in the Sarvamanya village of Tipparedhalli, Chellakere taluk

CIRCULATED TO COUNCILLORS.

By order,

C S Balasundaramayya

2 / / 1910 *Secretary to Government.*

K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, Esq.,
First Councillor.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA, Esq., M.A., M.L. Opinion recorded
Second Councillor.

GBPM—9.6 10—300

Facing sheet for Cases included in the schedule appended to Notification No. 36 of the 8th. Aug. 1902.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Object— Remission of ¼ of the Total beriz of the occupied lands in the Sarvamanya village of Fippareddihalli, chellakere taluk

	Date	Initial of Officer himself or responsible Deputy
Received in the Secretariat	13.7.10	
Submitted to Secretary		
Submitted by Secretary to ~~Dewan or~~ 2d Councillor ~~in charge~~	3-8-10	
---- Dewan - -	8-9-1910	
Returned to Secretary	28-10-1910	
Circulated by Secretary for Council	2-11-1910	
Forwarded by 2d Councillor	Seen	
Forwarded by 1st Councillor		
Received in Secretariat		
Considered in Council		
Submitted to the Dewan		
Submitted to His Highness		
Orders passed by His Highness		
Final Orders issued		
Circulated to Councillors		
Passed on by the Councillor . ..		
Returned to Secretary by Councillor.		

GPB -1,000—18 10 09

Serial No.

Draft docket to the Govt. Building Dept. papers - As necessary, the file may be sent to Govt. Advocate officially for opinion. Issue
RL 21.11.10 M/Wlegco

4 To the Govt. Building Dept. No 13/12-
R 7 23.10.4
& 24.11.10 Request that a Statt. may be furnished showing the rents on wet lands payable by each tenant & also to intimate if any similar remissi is given to bgln in previous years & to what ex-

may this be sent to Govt. Advocate for opinion?
RL 29(26)11.10

Before sending to Govt Advocate for the opinion as to the remission of rent, Wireless to Executive Engineer Nellore for report
29.11.10

Approved copies of G.O. No. 2413-23/M.707 of 1902 & 30.5.03 &
Subd
RL (26) 30.11.10

Wireless to Executive Engineer Nellore for report
By order
Asst. Secretary

1/12/1910

G.O. 31 dated 3-12-10.

Under the General law of [illegible]

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

authority to do an act or carry on a business has authority to do every act which is necessary to be done in performing the act or is usually done in the course of conducting the business. If the Government be no more than ordinary agents of the Inamdar (the Vyasaroy Mutt) in respect of the management of these villages, no rent due by a tenant can be remitted without the consent of the swamy of the Mutt, for the simple reason that it is not within the usual or ordinary powers of an agent or manager to remit assessments due by the tenants of his principal. But I believe the Government have assumed the management of the villages in the exercise of their inherent power to prevent the misuse of the funds of religious and charitable institutions in the State and the mismanagement of Inams granted or endowments, made by Government in support of the said institutions. In several instances, the Government assumed the management of the Inams, in spite of the wishes of the Swamy of the Mutt for the time being. In these circumstances it seems to me that the Government have power to grant remissions to holders of wet lands in the Inam villages of the Mutt under their management, just as they do in Government villages under similar circumstances.

S. Narayana Rao
Govt Asst

3 12 1910

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50 00 1—12 8 10

7,000

15

ssue
ial.

File No. 23 of 1910

Serial No.

May B of I be asked to put up precedents if any in which remissions were granted in Alienated villages in previous years of distress?

BL
3-12-10

Yr
3/12/10

To B of I for kindly putting up the precedents

RL Rees
3.12.10

Sahib.

The question of granting remissions to the ryots in alienated villages under Govt management does not appear to have arisen till now & any orders passed thereon. The orders granting remissions on account of distress in the years 1891-92, 1897 & 1905-06 do not contain any reference to the question now being discussed.

The file relating to the order (No 20-30) of 30/5/09 granting remissions for the year 1908-09 does not also contain any discussions on this point.

Before the issue of the above order, a Petition was received, praying for the grant of remissions on lands in alienated villages under Govt management. As per order of the Dewan, the opinion of the Rev.

* This collection as also Apl 1/15-08 pages 13-14-15 page 7900 pgs 36-46 Case returned to B of I
BL
26/7/14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

Comm^r was called for as to whether there was any objection to orders issued in respect of remissions on Govt villages being made applicable to alienated villages under Govt management (Vide No 9281- Affi) 08 36 & 10 5.08)

In his reply The Rev. Comm^r stated that he could not find any rulings or other reference on the subject, but has discussed the question at length arriving at the conclusion that it is within the power of Govt to grant the remissions & remissions in alienated villages under Govt management (Rev Comm^r's letter no. 11993 & 18/5/09

Flag-A

(Aff 7-08-46)

As the Govt order of 30th May 1909 had however been issued containing definite orders in the matter, conformably to the opinion worked out by the Rev. Comm^r no separate orders were passed on the Rev. Comm^r's letter of May 1909 referred to above.

Rec^d 9.12.10

(55) 9.12.10

BRs 9/12/10

Branch VII

Submitted to the undersec^y. The reply from the Collectors by Comm^r may be awaited

RL 10/12/10

Yes. Issue the reminder.

12.12.1911

R.I

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

Draft Remr to the Dy Commr is subd
RL 15/12/10 (26)
Withdrawn from issue & recorded.
Papers & this referring letter
returned to X Asst

D/f Remr resubd draft. 16/11/910
RL 8/1/11 (26)

5. From, the Dy Commr, Chitaldrug Dgn
Letter no 355 Dy 28-2-1911
Furnishes the reports called for in Sl. 4.

read) The Dy Commr reports that it is stated that there are no wet lands in the village, which consists of only dry and bagayat lands commanded by wells and that the demands on account of these Two different kinds of land are not separately shown in the account. The total amount payable by each present Tenant is enumerated in the Commr and is reported to be Rs 454-6-0 The Dy Commr further reports that ever since the village was brought under Govt management, there was only one season of drought during 1905-6, when the Hulbanki was

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,00 ›—12 8 10

1494 48

File No. 23 of 19 10

Serial No.

remitted in all Govt Villages, but that no remissions of any kind were granted by Govt in respect of this village

Submitted for orders to the contrary notn
AC(132) RG 21.3.11 reference to this note in Appl
18.3.1911 The Govt Advocate has been consulted as
also the Rev. Branch

The Govt order of 1903 under which the Madras Villages are managed by Govt is also perhaps.

It is now reported that there are wetland only in this village.

As regards dry and garden lands there can only be a postponement of collection under this order of May 1909 but no remission.

R Lushington 21/3/1911

The facts reported in Serial 5 render it unnecessary to grant any remission. A lot of unnecessary discussion would have been prevented if before making his first recommendation the Dy Com (Mr Chengiah Chetty) had verified the facts by an inspection by a responsible officer.

Cor + Dewan

CBB 22/4/11

11325 26-4-11

2014

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

seen 11/7/11 24/10/11

(19

File No. 23 1494 of 1960

Serial No.	

Submitted to Distt Commissioner
Ambikapur
5/6/94
Pass
Put up draft ens
S
12/7/94

AV
Submitted with a Dft Pro
AV(132)
14/7/1911 RL
Issue
S
15/7/94

6 To
the Dy Commr Chitaldroog
and the Asst Supdt in Mysore —
No 241-2 dt 20-7-1911.

Declining to grant any remission of assessment, Requesting that arrears should be collected early —

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

9 60

~~1910~~ M 9423-10-1

From The Waiting on
Chitral Road

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Kashmir Dept
Mussoorie Brom

Dated

Despatched 8 Jan 5 10 Sang

Received

General No. 10

No.

Enclosure No. 6P

Recommending
remission of 1/4
of total Land Revenue of
occupied lands of
Inferior Chiefs,
Zamindars & Ryots
owing to distress caused by

1) Open 10-11 pkt submit initial
2) submit note. Ryots under refusal to pay
3) ~~Prepare letter~~ Put up Draft letter under
RE Secretary's signature
RL 13/1/10

14/1/10

No 10
Encl

Office of the D
Chittagong
the 6th July 1910

From

M. Chingada Chetty Esqre B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Chittagong District

To

C.I.E Balasundaram Iyer Esqre B.A.
Offg: Secretary to the Govt of
H.H. the Maharaja of Cochin
General and Rev: Departments
Marine Branch
Bungalow

Sir,

In forwarding herewith vernacular correspondence ending with yadi No: 1419 dated the 16th June 1910, received from the Assistant Commissioner, Chittagong Sub-Division, I have the honor to state that the ryots of Tiffaramikally — Saravanamuttam village granted to the Vyasarapaswamy Matt at Sorale, now under Government management, have suffered for want of rain during the year of distress in 1908 09, and the yield of crops is reported to have been from 2 to 4 annas. As survey settlement has not been introduced into this village, it is not possible to ascertain the area of waste lands

cultivated

cultivated by each ryot. In the absence of this information, scale of remission sanctioned in Government Order Camp no 20-30 dated 30th May 1909 cannot be adopted.

2. One of the ryots has produced a Srecumkha given to him by the Inam in the year 1872 (Rs. 80.750.5.50 5/8) from which it is clear that a remission of 12½ per cent was allowed that year on the total assessment of both dry and wet lands enjoyed by him. It is also alleged that a remission of half the assessment was granted in the year 1878-79 (Famine of Chattu and Eswara). But there is no evidence to substantiate this statement.

3. This village like others in the Chellakere Taluk had suffered in the distress of 1908-09 while a remission of half the wet assessment was given to the ryots in Government villages it is nothing but fair that

a similar
shewn to the ryots of Zilla
(vide para 10 of Govt order no: 20-30
dated 30th may 1909)

4. Under the above circumstance
I beg to recommend their case
to the favorable consideration, on
to request that one-fourth of
the total Rent of the occupied
lands viz Rs 4574-6-0 may kind
be ordered to be remitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant:

K Thomasam Pai
for Deputy Collector

4

D 60

28-7-10

Mur 1 A]

1910 11

From

To The Rev Chair
in Mysore

Dated

Despatched 28th July 10

Received

General No. 2 2

No. - - - - -

Enclosure No. - - -

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith in original for ~~the~~ favour of your opinion Letter No 10 dated the 8th July 10 from the Rev Chairman Statutory Civil Service ~~to Original~~ together with its enclosures

Recommending Remission of one month of the Total period of ~~the~~ Probation

GPB—3,000—21-7-03

occupied

5

occupied lands
of Pippareddy halli,
a Savamanya village
in the Chellakere Taluk ~~belonging to~~
~~the Upparagrahara Inam Committee~~
~~owing to the distress of~~
~~the~~ owing. ~~Warrant for~~ Warrant for arrest on behalf of the Inam
Committee

~~I am sorry reply is~~
requested.

I have &c

27/7/1910
Asst.
BC
27.7.10

188 191—191 M.F. 23-10-3

From

RAJAKARYA PRAVINA

A. Rangaswami Iyengar, Esq., B. A., B. L.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments

Dated 10th August 1910

Despatched 12.

Received

General No 2092.

Enclosure No

SUBJECT.

Expressing opinion favourable to the recommendation of the Chitaldrug deputy commissioner to remit 1/4 of the total beriz of occupied lands of Parvamanya Lipparedi-halli, Chellakere Taluk.

recd 13/8/10

M/ Comme note. I should like to see what he intends about the remission in Mysore

8/ 18/8/10

87 18/8/1910

Caxton Press, Bangalore, 2000—6—10

6

No. 2092

Office of the Rev. Comr

Bangalore,

10th August 1910.

12"

From

Rajakarya Pravina

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq., B. A., B. L.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your No.306/Muz.23-10-2 dated 29th July 191 calling for my opinion on the proposal of the Deputy Commissione of the Chitaldrug District to grant remission owing to the distre of 1908-09 of one fourth of the total beriz of occupied lands of Thippareddihalli, a sarvamanya village in the Chellakere Taluk managed by Government on behalf of Sri Vyasaraya matt,, I have honour to submit for consideration the following observations.

It does not appear that the question was discussed or any decision come to as regards the policy which should regulate the grant of remissions of revenue ~~&~~ Inam villages whether under management of Government or not.

The Inam villages are either owned by single individuals or by a ~~m~~member of vrittidars and in either case during adverse seaso when relief in the shape of remissions etc., was granted by Gover -ment in unalienated villages, the ryots of the Inam villages do not appear to have sought the help of Government for enforcing relief at the hands of the Inamdars. It is very likely that the difficulty of collection of the kandayam due from the ryots in such bad seasons coupled with the fear of ryots running away has operated as an automatic and a powerful incentive to Inamdars to show ~~their~~ some indulgence to their ryots and grant them remissions or post-pone collection. But is very doubtful if the Government

can by an executive order lay down any rules for the guidance of Inamdars in this respect.

Apart from the objections on the score of principle against the interference of Government with the discretion of Inamdars in this matter, there are practical difficulties such as are exemplified in the present case. The village has not been settled, how much of the beriz is garden, wet or dry assessment cannot be made out and hence it is not possible to apply the methods usually followed in the case of Government villages to help the ryots.

But on ~~the~~ considerations of general expedience and in justice to ~~to~~ the ryots I think there is great force in the proposals of the Deputy Commissioner and it would ~~xxxxx~~ be rather harsh and ungracious if not unjust that the Government should lend all its power and influence to collect the revenue arrears of an Inam village under its management when it does not see its way to shew the same indulgence to the ryots which it would have naturally extended ~~if~~ the land belonged to an unalienated village.

In this view I think that the Government would be fully justified in its assuming with or without the Inamdar's consent a right to shew some sympathetic consideration to the distressed ryots in the Inam village under its management. ~~The file~~

The file of papers received with your letter under advertence is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner.

K.K

M.S.

8

G.R.S. 29A]

1910-11

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Com^r
Chitaldrug Dt

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

---

10 Dy
Ltr letter no. 8.7.10
recommending remission
of ½ of total assessment
of occupied lands
of Tippareddihalli
Savamanga village
owing to the distress of
08-09

---

with reference to the above
mentioned letter ~~enquiries~~ request
that a statement may
be prepared showing

9

the assessment on
net-bounds payable
by each tenant, to
furnished to this Office
as early as possible

15 D

21/11/10

The Dy Comr is also
requested to give
if any similar requisitions
were granted in previous seasons
& if so to cite the cases &
reasons in the
they were given

T
4/3

D 1491

~~190~~ Mar 7 23-10 65

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Bulk Rev Depts
Mysore Bdrau
Bangal

Dated 28.2
Despatched
Received 2/3/11
General No. 355
No.

Enclosure No. nil

Furnishing particulars
called for in respect
of the remission of ½ of
total assessment of occupied
lands of Hippanadahalli
Inam-village Challakere
Taluk

Entire note
RL
8/3/11

8/3/1911

Reply
18/3/1911

GPB—7o reams—25-5-10

No 355 / Office of the Deputy
Chiknayakanhalli
Dated 28th February 1911
2nd March

From, K Chandy Esqrs BA
Deputy Commissioner
Chikmagalur District.

To C/ Balasundaram Iyer Esqrs BA
Offg Secretary to the Govt
of H.H the Maharaja Mysore
General and Revenue Depts
Municipal Branch
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your
Memo No. C. No 1392/ 23-10-4 dated
24th November 1910 calling for further
particulars regarding the remission
of 1/4 of total kandaya of assessed
lands of Tiptur Amrithapuri Inam
village in the Chikmagalur taluk,
I have the honor to state that
it is reported that there are no
wet lands in the village which
consist of only dry lands and
garden lands commanded
by wells and that the demands
on account of these two different
kinds of land are not separately
shown in the accounts. The total
amount payable by each tenant
is shown below.

(1)	Chandam Eramma	R. 146-0-0
(2)	Bhimasamudra	65-9-0
(3)	Padali Timmiah	84-13-0
(4)	Kaperdahalli Peddia	39-6-0

(5)

Ayyabba Rs. 35-0-0.
(6) S anbog Aiyabba .. 22-0-0
(7) Kapati Tibbiyya .. 15-10-0
(8) Gowda Siddabba .. 12-0-0
(9) Patalu Timmiah .. 10-8-0
(10) Yella Gengalabba .. 5-8-0
(11) Mallappa Gengalabba .. 13-0-0
(12) Shanbog Lingamma 5-0-0

Rs. 454-6-0

2. Except the village brought under Government management there was only one season of drought during 1905-06 when the kulbandi amount was remitted in all Government villages, but no remissions of any kind were granted by Government in respect of this village.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant

K. Chandy
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug

D4 12

File No. 23-10
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

the 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ—
Letter no 10 Dy 8th July 1910 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, reporting that the ryots of Ippaneddihalli village of the Challakere Taluk which is an inam to the Sri Vyasaraya Swamy Matt, now under Govt management, have suffered the want of rain during the year of distress in 1908-9, that

ORDER THEREON.—No. In accordance with Govt Order No 20-30 Rd 30-5 1909 the remission of half the jist amounting to Rs 1,000 may be granted in favour of the Mutt as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner.

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000 29 9 10

19-7-11

/3

Assessment having been sanctioned to the ryots in Govt villages, it is but fair that under para 10 of G.O. no 20-30 Dy 30th May 1909, the ryots in the inam villages in question are also entitled to same concession and recommending that, as Survey Settlement has not been introduced into this village and as the area of wet lands cannot be ascertained, a remission of one-fourth of the total beriz of occupied lands in the village may be granted.

Collector's Letter no. 355 Dy 28th Feby. 1911 from the same officer reporting that there are no wet lands in this village as it consists of only dry and bagayat lands

14

S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

No 241-2 Encl
Mus 7 23-10-6
(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

D 20. 7. 911.

Commanded by wells
order thereon. — Mr

As it is reported that there are no wet lands in the inam village of Tipparedihalli, no remission of assessment can be granted. The amount should be collected. A lot of unnecessary discussion would have been prevented if before making this first recommendation the Dy. Commr (Mr Thengiah Chetty) had verified the facts by an inspection by a responsible officer.

Before making

Remarks
order

D 1577/911

for Dfq-Secretary.

AD 157 1911

20/ The Dy Commr, Chitaldrug Dt,
returning the records
& Muznai Supdt in my case
for information